

M/027/087

David J. Ryzak  
617 E. 18<sup>th</sup> Way  
Burley, Idaho 83318

November 12, 2004

Mr. Tom Munson  
Department of Natural Resources  
Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining  
P. O. Box 145801  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84115801

RECEIVED  
NOV 15 2004  
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

re: Rocanville Stone; Tejon Quarries Second Review

Dear Tom:

Enclosed are the responses to DOGM's Second Review of Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining Operations for Rocanville Stone's Tejon Quarries; M/027/087. A considerable revision has been made for some of the responses. Revisions to sentences are underlined where changes have been made. Newly added sentences are underlined. Additional language has been added to answer questions the BLM asked in their letter of September 10, 2004. Some sentences and some words were deleted as a result of this response. You will have to compare the original and revised portions of the NOI to find these types of changes. No changes of any kind have been made to any sections not included in this response.

Selected maps were required to be revised because of the questions asked in the Second Review. These include the C series maps "Existing and Proposed Development," D series maps "Cross Sections of current and proposed development," and F series maps "Highwalls After Reclamation." The C series maps were increased to two sheets per set to help you better understand the proposed development plan. In each set, sheet two reflects the final proposed conceptual design. Cross Sections D (two sheets) were redrawn to reflect the conceptual design shown on the C series maps. The F series maps were redrawn to answer questions posed in the Second Review. Some of the information might better have been shown on the E series maps, but as the Second Review did not ask these be revised I did not change them. It is important to understand these maps are conceptual in design. The operator will quarry as he sees is most efficient to his operation and when the time to reclaim comes he, hopefully, will be able to follow the reclamation part of the plan close enough to satisfy the regulations in place.

Revision of the plan resulted in a considerable increase in the Reclamation Surety Estimate to \$71,260. In what ways will it be possible to reduce the total cost of reclamation without making the operation inefficient or reducing the number of acres to be affected by the operation? The small size of the individual quarries makes any significant amount of interim reclamation unfeasible.

Sincerely,

*David J. Ryzak*

David J. Ryzak

cc: Mert Hamilton